Development of a Restoration Technique for Red Mangroves (Rhizophora mangle) in High Energy Environments

Jason Krumholz¹, Catherine Jadot², Todd Barber ³, and Hannah Williams⁴

¹ University of Rhode Island Graduate School of Oceanography, Narragansett, RI 02882 ² School for Field Studies, South Caicos, BWI ⁴ The Reef Ball Foundation, Athens GA, 30606 ⁴ University of Rhode Island Department of Marine Affairs, Kingstown, RI 02881

Research Summary:

Restoration of red mangroves (Rhizophora mangle) in high energy environments, while critical, for erosional stability, has proven difficult using traditional direct planting or split PVC methods (e.g. Riley and Salgado Kent, 1999). We demonstrate an initial field test for a new three part method using armored concrete cultivators (Figure 1) to provide stability, protection and nutrients to young red mangroves until they are self sufficient. In order to minimize wash-out and advection of fertilizers into potentially sensitive systems such as coral reefs, we have developed a semi-enclosed slow release fertilizer delivery system using traditional Osmocote [™] fertilizer encased in cement fortified plaster of paris discs.



sure 4: Fert –Discs in labora ntegrity of cement fortified (left) vs. unfortified (right) plaster



disc type



The Three Part Restoration System



Figure 1: Conceptual drawing highlighting features of red mangrove restoration system. (image courtesy of www.mangrovesolutions.com)

The Armored Cultivator

•Made from 'reef-safe' pH neutralized concrete Stabilizes plant against waves , tides and debris Convex drainage holes provide water exchange while minimizing washout

Can be constructed as permanent or biodegradable



Figure 2: Armored cultivator units awaiting deployment. (photo: J. Krumholz)

Part 2: Wrack Protection & Anchoring

- Modified from REM Method (Riley and Salgado Kent, 1999)
- •Fits through top hole in armored cultivator •Mangrove grows out of the protector after
- approximately 12-18 months
- •Hollow steel anchor tube is cut at an angle to provide access to soil for roots. •Presently, the wrack protector is designed to break
- open and be removed after 3-5 years



Figure 8: Schematic of proposed fut

restoration project at Kaibo Beach Club, Grand Cayma BWI. Proposed planting depths range from 20-40cm.

Figure 3: Close up of wrack protection and anchoring system. Wrack protector is hinged and designed to be removed after 3-5 years. Anchor tube is cut at an angle to enlarge split in wrack protector, allowing roots access to

•Fert-Disc fits snugly into base of cultivator unit

•Fertilizer application can be customized for specific projects •Preliminary laboratory collected durability and release rates compare well with literature vales, in situ pilot experiments



Field Pilot Study

field pilot site at Cayman Islands Sailing Club (Grand Cayman, BWI) in November, 2006

•Preliminary monitoring indicates that growth and survival are both within expected values.

•Preliminary results indicate that within the range of anticipated planting depths, growth and survival are relatively consistent

Survival and Growth over Time

Age (days) 0

Figure 9: Growth rate and survival of juvenile mangroves in experimental nursery. Error bars are 1σ

Effect of Planting Depth on Seedling Growth



 More Data is required to determine effect of planting depth on growth and mortality •Full scale experimental restoration project at Kaibo Beach Club scheduled for April, 2008

Future Work

Works Cited

•Riley, R., and Salgado Kent, C. 1999. Riley encased methodology: principles and processes of mangrove habitat creation and restoration. Mangroves and Saltmarshes. 3(4) 207-213

+Feller, I.C., D.F. Whigham, K. L. McKee, and C E. Lovelock. 2003. Nitrogen limitation of growth and nutrient dynamics in a disturbed mangrove forest, Indian River Lagoon, Florida, Oecologia 134: 405–414.

•Koch, M.S., and S.C. Snedaker. 1997. Factors influencing Rhizophora mangle seedling development in Everglades carbonate soils. Aquatic Botany 59:87-98. •Oviatt, C.A. and K.M. Hindle, 1994. Manual of Biological and Geochemical Techniques in Coastal Areas. MERL Series, Report No. 1, Third Edition, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr. Candace Oviatt and Brooke Longval (URI/GSO) for facilities use, expertise, and assistance with the nutrient samples. We would also like to thank Tim Austin and James Gibb (Cayman Islands DOE), Ben Chisholm and Larry Beggs (Reef Ball Foundation), Jocelyn Dineen (Scotts Corporation), and David Hudson for their contributions to this work. This project was funded by an NSF IGERT grant through the University of Rhode Island Coastal Institute. Supplies were also donated by the Reef Ball Foundation and the Scotts Corporatio









